

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/652,282	Applicant(s) GATELY ET AL.
	Examiner ILIA OUSPENSKI	Art Unit 1644

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending.

(1) ILIA OUSPENSKI.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Thomas E. Friebel.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 April 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

#### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*claim 16.*

Prior art documents discussed:

#### Part II.

#### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant authorized the Examiner's Amendment to claim 16 as set forth in the accompanying Office Action.*

#### Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Ilia Ouspenski

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)